

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/072,570 02/08/2002 Gijsbertus Johannes Van Oorschot F7590(V) 1952 **EXAMINER** 201 7590 06/08/2006 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP WEBMAN, EDWARD J 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BLDG C2 SOUTH** ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100 1616

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
Office Action Summary		Appli	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/0	72,570	VAN OORSCHOT	ET AL.	
		Exam	niner	Art Unit		
			rd J. Webman	1616		
- T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M is of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this como dof or reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE Of of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNICATION no event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					•	
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 24 March 2	006.			
•	•	2b)⊠ This action				
3)☐ Sir	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
clo	sed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex par</i> te	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-9,11-16 and 19-25</u> is/are	pending in the ar	oplication.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□ Cla	aim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or electi	on requirement.			
Application	Papers					
9)∐ The	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	r. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See	the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔲 Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)	
Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date		6)			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

The election of species requirement filed 9/16/03 over food product is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-16, 19-21, 23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan patent document JP-01277454 (JP-'454).

JP '454 teaches a composition comprising a protein-containing food material and a fermented milk product. The latter is a soybean curd treated with a mould belonging to Monascus. Meat-like food is disclosed. As to the Hue a* value, statin, and amounts of polyphenols, the fungus and substrate are the same as those claimed, therefore the Hue a* value, statins and amount of polyphenols must be present in the anticipatory composition. (A translation of the JP '454 is on order and will be provided with the next action. Applicants are invited to inquire as to whether it is available at the time they are preparing their response to the instant action.)

Claims 11-16, 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the Monascus species of fungi, does not reasonably provide enablement for any fungus. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. On page 5 lines 5-18, the Monascus fungus is specified for obtaining the claimed composition. No other is disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 11-16, 19-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19 "soy ingredients" is vague. It is unclear as the metes and bounds of the phrase. No definition is provided in the specification. In claim 21 "polyphenols comprises" is indefinite. In claim 24 "substantially consists of" is indefinite is vague; it is unclear as to how "substantially" modifies the judicially determined narrow meaning of "consisting of". In claim 14 "1 wt." is indefinite. Is "1 wt.% intended? In claim 19 "with statins producing fungus" is indefinite. Is "a" intended before after "with"? In claim 25 "bar meal replacer" is indefinite. Do applicants intend "bars, meal replacer"? See specification, page 9 lines 18-23.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10 line 10 "1 wt." Is indefinite. Is "1 wt.%" intended?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 11-16 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the specification is the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

phrase "at least 50%" in claim 19 and 22 disclosed. Nowhere in the specification is the phrase "at least 80%" in claim 20 disclosed.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-16, 19-25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,849,281.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the patented claims regarding the particular polyphenols and statins and the patented claims encompass the instant claims regarding the presence of the statin producing fungus.

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD . WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500